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With the increasing requirement to safeguard water supplies in the UK and implement sustainable water use, managers of offices and hotels need to know what the indicators are of national trends and what benchmarks are appropriate for their buildings.

This report describes a study that has used data from a number of water companies to establish the ranges of usage at present and to set benchmarks for better practice. It will enable managers to understand whether their office or hotel is performing well or poorly in comparison with other similar buildings in the UK. Guidance is provided on actions that can be taken to reduce usage. It will also inform designers about target usage and will be of value to those who wish to carry out similar benchmarking studies and future researchers.
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Executive summary

Increasingly, there is a requirement to safeguard water supplies in the UK and implement sustainable water use. Practitioners working to advise the office and hotel sectors on reductions in water consumption had identified a lack of suitable benchmarking information that would allow a simple method of comparing the water consumption of a particular property with national trends.

This report describes how suitable benchmarks have been found for water use in offices and hotels across England and Wales that will enable managers to understand whether their property is performing well or poorly in comparison with other similar buildings in the UK. It will also inform designers about target usage.

This detailed report should be read by those who are interested in how the research was carried out, or who wish to carry out similar benchmarking studies. It also includes details of the information gained by the research team that may be of use to future researchers.

Guidance reports

For those who do not require the detail in this report, the benchmarks and some guidance to assist improvement in water consumption have been provided in separate guidance reports freely available to download from the internet:

W10 – Key Performance Indicators for water use in hotels
www.ciria.org/downloads/01/w010.pdf

W11 – Key Performance Indicators for water use in offices
www.ciria.org/downloads/01/w011.pdf

CIRIA encourages wide dissemination of these guidance reports.

The Key Performance Indicator chosen for hotels is cubic metres of water per bedspace per annum. Although the occupancy rate for the hotel is the main defining factor for water consumption, it was found that few small and medium sized hotels (the main target of this research) have the facility to easily collect guest night information. Subsequently, bedspaces were used as a proxy.

The analysis showed a major correlation between the “star” rating of the hotel and the water consumption. However, “star” rating is a voluntary exercise and many hotels choose not to have “star” ratings. In this case they have been grouped as “other” hotels.

Key Performance Indicators for offices have been provided both for water consumption in cubic metres per person per year, and cubic metres per square metre per year. Occupancy was again thought to be the main determinant of water consumption, but it was recognised that occupancy can be a difficult and ambiguous figure to find for a typical office building. The alternative was to define a KPI based on floor area, recognising that this is not as accurate a measure, but one that can be readily determined.

Suitable benchmarks have been compiled from this research project for office and hotel buildings across the UK. However the available data was limited and CIRIA and the sponsoring water companies hope that by making the data available with this report (see the attached CD-ROM at the back of the book), others will be able to build on the current research and refine the proposed benchmarks.
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Glossary

**Benchmark**
The “best in class” level of performance achieved for a specific business process or activity. It is used as a reference for comparison in benchmarking.

**Co-efficient of determination**
See R-squared value below.

**Correlation co-efficient**
See R-squared value below.

**Histogram analysis tool**
This analysis tool calculates individual and cumulative frequencies for a range of data and data bins. It generates data for the number of occurrences of a value in a data set. A histogram table presents the chosen boundaries and the number of scores between the lowest bound and the current bound. The single most frequent score is the mode of the data.

**Key Performance Indicator (KPI)**
The measure of performance associated with an organisation’s activity or process. This information provided by a KPI can be used to determine how an organisation compares with the benchmark and is therefore a key component in an organisations move towards best practice.

**R-squared value**
Also known as the coefficient of determination or the correlation coefficient. An indicator that ranges in value from 0 to 1 and reveals how closely the estimated values for the trendline correspond to actual data. A trendline is most reliable when its R-squared value is at or near 1.

**Regression line**
See trendline.

**Trendline**
A graphical representation of the trend or direction of data in a series. Most valuable when at or near to 1.

**Net Internal Area (NIA)**
A common figure used to represent commercial space that excludes core and plant (non-lettable) space. Also known as Net Lettable Area (NLA).
1 Introduction and background

This report summarises an approach to find suitable benchmarks for water use in offices and hotels across the UK. The aim is to enable managers of offices and hotels to understand whether their property is performing well or poorly in comparison with other similar buildings in the UK, and to inform designers about target usage.

1.1 The project need

The project need was identified from the increasing requirement to safeguard water resources and supplies in the UK and implement sustainable water use. Practitioners working to advise the office and hotel sectors on reductions to water consumption had identified a shortage of useful data. In particular there was a lack of suitable benchmarking information facilitating a simple method of comparing the water consumption of a particular property with national trends.

Similar information is available for energy use in offices and other buildings, and is regularly used at both the design and operation/management phases of buildings to identify whether action is required. The aim of this project was to provide similar data for water consumption which is accessible for use by interested parties including property managers, owners and operators.

The objectives of this project were to:

- collate and analyse existing data and information that is representative of UK water use
- provide facility managers, building engineers and designers with Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) from which benchmarks for water efficiency in domestic, commercial and hotel environments can be set
- help improve water efficiency and encourage the sustainable use of water within buildings
- set up and manage a network of stakeholders – including developers, engineers, regulators, water utilities, government and consumers – to share information and disseminate guidance to end users
- make recommendations for further work.

These original objectives included the production of a KPI for commercial buildings which would include factory buildings. However this was modified following discussion with the project steering group at an early stage, given that factory process use is difficult to predict and already adequately covered by Envirowise guidance information1. Non-process use would be essentially office based and could be dealt with by the provision of an office benchmark from this project.

It was also originally intended to include residential use within the study, but the availability of data for the residential element proved extremely problematic and it was agreed that the study should analyse just the hotels and offices sectors. These sectors are described in detail in this report.
1.2 Who should read this report?

This project report provides a detailed summary of the project methodology and results, and should be read by those who want to understand how the research was carried out, or wish to carry out similar benchmarking studies. This document also includes details of the lessons learned by the research team that it is felt will be of considerable use for future research.

The questions raised by the study will not only be of interest to those involved in benchmarking for water consumption, but many of the lessons learned are also applicable to energy benchmarking, or those involved in any type of benchmarking in the offices and hotels sectors. It is hoped that by considering these issues while planning future benchmarking studies, many of the difficulties experienced during this project can be overcome by future researchers.

This report contains the following principal sections:

Section 2: Summary of key points; summarises the main points of the study and the findings, including lessons learned.

Section 3: Methodology; outlines the method followed by the research team and the reasons for the methodology chosen, where appropriate. Describes the process of KPI development and how data was obtained and analysed. A more detailed discussion of lessons learned is also included.

Section 4: Benchmarking hotels; describes the approach taken to produce information on water consumption in hotels including details of published and unpublished studies that helped to inform the research team. Proposes benchmarks for hotels and outlines the suggested split of hotels into typologies to assist benchmarking.

Section 5: Benchmarking offices; describes the approach taken to produce benchmarks for offices including a review of benchmarks currently utilised in the offices sector. The process of obtaining and analysing data is described, and proposed benchmarks explained.

1.3 Guidance reports

For those who do not require the detail in this report, the benchmarks and some guidance to assist improvement in water consumption have also been provided as separate guidance for hotels and for offices. It is intended that the content of the guidance reports can be used by third parties within specifications, guidance documents and information leaflets, for example, and therefore wide dissemination is encouraged. Further guidance can be found in the CIRIA publications Rainwater and greywater use in buildings. Decision-making for water conservation (D Leggett et al, 2001), Rainwater and greywater use in buildings. Best practice guidance (D Leggett et al 2001) and Sustainable water management in land use planning (P Samuels et al, 2005).

The guidance reports can be downloaded from the internet at: www.ciria.org/downloads/01/w010.pdf and www.ciria.org/downloads/01/w011.pdf
1.4 Definitions of benchmarking and Key Performance Indicators

Benchmarking involves comparing and measuring performance against others in key business activities and then using lessons learned from the best to make targeted improvements. It requires answering two questions – who is better and why are they better? With the aim of using this information to make changes that will lead to vital improvements.

Definitions of terms used within this report are contained in the glossary, but to aid understanding it is useful to define these two important terms at this point:

- a **Key Performance Indicator** (KPI) is the measure of performance associated with an activity or process. This information provided by a KPI can be used to determine how an organisation compares with the benchmark and is a key component in a move towards good practice. An example of a KPI used in this report is water consumption per employee per annum.

- a **benchmark** is a level of performance achieved for a specific business process or activity. It is used as a reference for comparison in benchmarking.

The distinction between Key Performance Indicators and benchmarks is that the KPI is the actual measure of performance whereas the benchmark is a target performance.

A CD-Rom accompanies this report which contains two excel files; one for data used in hotel analysis and the other for data in offices. All identifying information has been removed from this data following data protection requirements. However the structure of the data will enable it to be used for further analysis.

The remainder of this report discusses the results of the analyses currently performed.
Summary of key points

2.1 Summary of proposed benchmarks and Key Performance Indicators

2.1.1 Summary of hotels sector

The Key Performance Indicator for hotels was chosen as cubic metres of water per beds per annum. Previous studies described below found that occupancy of the hotel is the main defining factor for water consumption. It was determined that few small and medium sized hotels (the main target of this research) have the facility to easily collect guest night information (which would provide a more accurate KPI figure) therefore beds per annum were used as a proxy. More information on the selection of this benchmark is provided in Section 4.2. Cubic metres of water were used to enable easy comparison with bills.

The analysis determined that there was a significant correlation between the “star” rating of the hotel and water consumption. A concern with this system is that the star rating is a voluntary exercise and therefore many hotels do not have “star” ratings. In this case they have been grouped as “other” hotels, or those which are “undefined”. The star ratings are also awarded for hotel facilities that will not affect water use, such as disabled facilities. Nevertheless a clear correlation was found and the benchmarks were defined for each of the four categories of hotel identified, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Hotel rating</th>
<th>Benchmarks (m³/bedspace/annum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Best practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 1</td>
<td>1 star</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 2</td>
<td>2 or 3 star</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 3</td>
<td>4 or 5 star</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>No rating</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Benchmarks have been split into two distinct types: those with a pool and those without. They have further been split into the respective category of hotel. The benchmark figures shown below have also been rounded to provide ease of use.

Table 2.1 Benchmarks for hotels with swimming pools

* These figures have been derived as there were no category one hotels with pools.
2.1.2 Summary of offices sector

The KPI for the offices sector was difficult to define. There was a conflict between two of the essential components of a KPI; namely the need for the information to define it being easily available and unambiguous, and the need to reflect the main defining factor of an office building. In this case, the main defining factor was thought to be occupancy, but for various reasons it was realised that this is a difficult and ambiguous figure to find for a typical office building. The alternative KPI was office floor area, but this is not as accurate a measure as occupancy. Further details of the pros and cons of each KPI are provided in Section 5.2.

It was decided that both indicators should be tested by analysis to see which was the more appropriate: water consumption in m³ per person per year or water consumption in m³ per square metre per year. There was a preference for benchmarks to be stated in addition, as litres per day. To calculate the number of litres per day, a business year of 253 days was assumed, excluding weekends and bank holidays.

Analysis determined that both of these indicators had a strong correlation with the water consumption of the office buildings analysed, and both were suitable indicators. Analysis showed that there were no discernable additional factors that would need to be taken into account, and a single benchmark could be provided. Benchmarks were provided both by area and by total number of employees as shown in the table below. Where these could be verified, they compare favourably with previous research studies.

It was suggested that in order to provide appropriate guidance to the offices sector, it would be most useful to provide the typical benchmark only, encouraging facility managers to aim for a lower figure than this. This benchmark is highlighted in the table below.

Table 2.3 Benchmarks for offices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Hotel rating</th>
<th>Benchmarks (m³/bedspace/annum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Best practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat 1</td>
<td>1 star</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat 2</td>
<td>2 or 3 star</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat 3</td>
<td>4 or 5 star</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>No rating</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total employee numbers should be used even if full time equivalent employee figures are available.

Note: Figures have been rounded to form more usable benchmark figures.